- Without open access (OA), sustainable development is not possible
- But Open access is only a necessary condition, not a sufficient one
- One forgotten issue is the research agenda:
 who defines scientific problems?
- Why are there neglected agricultural problems, neglected diseases, etc. ?
- Building an autonomous research capacity is not just inserting oneself in work programmes defined elsewhere

- Let us distinguish "globalized" science from "universal" science
- Global science is organized around a centre; its results are valid anywhere; it is practised by anyone willing to fit within the main trends defined at the centre;
- "Global" science acts like a "field" that affects the choice of research problems;
- In "globalized science", the "field" of science works through competition for scientific authority (a mixture of visibility and prestige);
- It is a competition based on journals (the impact factor is the quantitative metric used for that purpose). Then, the competition between journals mediates the competition between researchers.

Universal science is

- Multipolar
- Incorporates a variety of regional fields (including the global one, where it is appropriate)
- Seeks more autonomy in establishing research programmes
- Generates more diversity in scientific research
- Offers a richer base for conceptualizing and theorizing in science

- Because scientific fields operate through competition for authority:
 - It is important to avoid just one kind of authority;
 - It is important to remember that competition only identifies champions; it does not manage research communities well;
 - Competition breeds excellence (i.e. the quest to be the best) rather than quality: scientific fields must also incoporate quality;
 - It is important to remember that, in competition, the real winners are those who organize, manage, and control it namely those who organize the competition among journals.

- If incentives exist to publish in "prestigious, international, journals", bad consequences ensue:
 - Each journal has an editorial orientation that must be obeyed;
 - Rare resources in emerging countries are then **diverted** to problems corresponding to the editorial line;
 - If particularly successful, scientists may even be invited to move to central countries: **brain drain**;
 - Conclusion? **Foreign aid in reverse**: the poor assist the rich;
 - Consequence: Ebola, known for 40 years was neglected until it began to threaten rich countries themselves... More than 10,000 dead!

- How to build an OA-based strategy for building universal science?
- The goal is to build research capacity on autonomously defined sets of problems. This means
 - Reinforcing research communities corresponding to selected problems;
 - Collecting the information relevant for the selected problems
 - Some articles (but not much) will undoubtedly come from "prestigious, international, journals"
 - Many articles will come from regional and national journals
 - Institutional repositories, particularly networked repositories, will play a crucial role;

- To move away from the journal "yoke", "reformed" repositories will take the first role;
- Imagine repositories networked across nations facing similar problems;
- Imagine foregrounding the information relating to these problems;
- Imagine helping researchers to navigate the repositories, including tools (e.g. metadata, link structures, etc.) to find quality materials derived from articles themselves, and not journals' reputation;
- Imagine repositories taking on some of the functions of journals.

- Networked repositories could help build international, South-South research communities;
- A multi-polar science structure would begin to emerge;
- A way to link neglected problems with the careers of the scientists in the South would emerge.

- Within such a strategy, FAO can help build article (<u>not journal</u>) collections and support research on neglected categories of problems;
- Within such a strategy, COAR and IFLA can help network repositories in the emerging and developing countries, using protocols such as those of OpenAIRE that will ensure interoperability

- Furthermore, publishing platforms on the SciELO or Redalyc model can complement the repository networks and ultimately merge with them;
- New forms of evaluation, including new metrics, can foreground quality, and limit competitive excellence to those precise cases where it may be appropriate

- Conclusion: a healthy form of "universal science" (as opposed to "globalized science") that does not systematically neglect broad swaths of problems can be built if:
 - **Open Access** is used
 - Attention is devoted to the choice of problems;
 - Repositories are networked and used in creative ways, including involvement in the monitoring of quality;
 - Journals are not used as substitutes for quality evaluation;
 - "Prestigious international journals" are not used to "discipline" research communities everywhere.